

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/825,330	04/16/20	Michael Movalli	6555-0001-01	7897
22852 7	590 12	/17/2004	EXAM	IINER
FINNEGAN,	HENDERSO	WINTER	WINTER, JOHN M	
LLP				
1300 I STREE	T NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	•		3621	

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	4 11 41 14	A 11 44 h				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	16			
Office Action Summary	10/825,330	MOVALLI ET AL.	ーラ ⁾			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John M Winter	3621	·			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. ommunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ap	oril 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19,23 and 28</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18,20-22,24-27 and 29-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	* **	d.				
	2 222 22					
Attachment(s)						
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	152)			
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/7/2004.	5) Dother:	асенс Аррисацоп (РТО	-104)			

Application/Control Number: 10/825,330

Art Unit: 3621

السرر.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §101

Claims 1-18, 20-22,24-27 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case, claims 1,5,11,15,16,17 and 24 only recite an abstract idea. The recited steps in claim 1 of merely receiving transaction data and unique identifiers; and generating unique-codes from the transaction data and unique identifiers, wherein the unique codes constitute secure endorsements of the transaction data by the parties corresponding to the unique identifiers does not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of a pencil and paper.

These steps only constitute an idea of how to generate unique identifiers.

Claims 5,11,15,16,17 and 24 are similar in nature to claim 1.

Additionally, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. In the present case, the claimed invention allows users to generate a (i.e., repeatable) a unique code (i.e., useful and tangible).

Although the recited process produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result, since the claimed invention, as a whole, is not within the technological arts as explained above, claims 1,5,11,13,16,17 and 24 is deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

All that is necessary to make a sequence of operational steps in a statutory process within 35 U.S.C. 101 is that it be in the technological arts so as to be in concordance with the Constitutional purpose to promote the progress of "useful arts" *In re Musgrave*, 431 F.2d 882 167 USPQ 280 (CCPA 1970)

A claim is limited to a practical application when the method, as claimed, produces a concrete, tangible and useful result: i.e. the method recites a step or act of producing something that is concrete, tangible and useful. See AT&T v. Excel Communications Inc., 172 F3d at 1358, 50 USPQ2dat 1452.

Claims 2-4,6-10, 12-14,18,20-22,25-27 and 29-31 are dependent on claims 1,5,11, and 16 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,330

Art Unit: 3621

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19, 23, and 28 are allowable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M Winter whose telephone number is (703) 305-3971. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6, 1st Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P Trammell can be reached on (703)305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

JMW December 12, 2004